

Does the axiom of Dependent Choices imply the axiom of Countable Choices, locally?

joint work with Lorenzo Notaro

Alessandro Andretta

Dipartimento di Matematica "Giuseppe Peano"
Università di Torino

24-01-2023

Some well-known principles

DC(X), the axiom of Dependent Choices for X

$$\forall R \subseteq X^2 (\forall x \exists y (x R y) \Rightarrow \exists (x_n)_{n \in \omega} \forall n (x_n R x_{n+1})).$$

$$(DC) \quad \forall X \neq \emptyset \text{ DC}(X)$$

$AC_\omega(X)$ i.e. $CC(X)$, the axiom of Countable Choices for X

$$\forall (A_n)_{n \in \omega} (\forall n (\emptyset \neq A_n \subseteq X) \Rightarrow \exists (x_n)_{n \in \omega} \forall n (x_n \in A_n)).$$

$$(AC_\omega) \quad \forall X \text{ AC}_\omega(X)$$

AC(X), the axiom of choice for X

$\exists F: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow X \forall Y \subseteq X (Y \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow F(Y) \in Y)$.

(AC) $\forall X \neq \emptyset \text{ AC}(X)$

For all $X \neq \emptyset$, $\text{AC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{DC}(X)$ and $\text{AC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(X)$,
and $\text{DC} \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega$.

Question:

Does $\text{DC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(X)$ for all X ?

To avoid trivialities we assume from now on that X is infinite, i.e. not in bijection with any $n \in \omega$. In particular $X \neq \emptyset$.

Easy facts

If Y is the surjective image of X , then $\text{DC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{DC}(Y)$.

If $Y \preceq X$, that is Y injects into X , then $\text{AC}_\omega(X) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(Y)$.

$\text{DC}(X)$ is equivalent to the stronger form:

$\forall R \subseteq X^2 (\forall x \exists y (x R y) \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} \in X \exists (x_n)_{n \in \omega} (\bar{x} = x_0 \wedge \forall n (x_n R x_{n+1})))$.

If $\text{DC}(X)$ and the $\emptyset \neq A_n \subseteq X$ are pairwise disjoint, then there is $(a_n)_n$ such that $a_n \in A_n$, for all $n \in \omega$.

Proof.

Require that every $x \in A_n$ is R -related to every $y \in A_{n+1}$. □

If the A_n s are not disjoint, the R -chain given by $\text{DC}(X)$ might be a loop, meeting only finitely many A_n s.

$DC(X \times \omega) \Rightarrow AC_\omega(X)$.

Proof.

Given $A_n \subseteq X$, the sets $A_n \times \{n\} \subseteq X \times \omega$ are pairwise disjoint. \square

If $X \times 2 \lesssim X$, then $X \times \omega \lesssim X$.

Proof.

If $f_0, f_1: X \rightarrow X$ are injective and $\text{ran } f_0 \cap \text{ran } f_1 = \emptyset$, then $F: X \times \omega \rightarrow X$ defined by $F(x, n) = f_0 \circ \underbrace{f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_1}_{n \text{ times}}(x)$ is injective \square

Theorem 1a

If $X \times 2 \lesssim X$, then $DC(X) \Rightarrow AC_\omega(X)$.

In particular $DC(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow AC_\omega(\mathbb{R})$. As every X is contained in some Y such that $Y \times 2 \lesssim Y$, then $DC \Rightarrow AC_\omega$.

Theorem 1b

Assume $\text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\text{DC}(A) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(A)$ for all infinite sets A .

Proof.

Assume $\text{DC}(A)$ and let $\emptyset \neq A_n \subseteq A$. Define $I: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega)$,
 $I(a) = \{n \in \omega \mid a \in A_n\}$. Let $X_n = \{x \in \text{ran } I \mid n \in x\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$. If
 $a \in A_n$ then $n \in I(a)$ so $I(a) \in X_n$ and hence $\emptyset \neq X_n$ for all $n \in \omega$.
By $\text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ pick $x_n \in X_n$ —the x_n s need not be distinct! Let
 $B_n = I^{-1}(\{x_n\}) \subseteq A$. Then
 $B_n = \{a \mid I(a) = x_n\} = \{a \mid \{k \mid a \in A_k\} = x_n\}$ and since $n \in x_n$, then
 $B_n \subseteq A_n$. The B_n s need not be distinct, but if $x_n \neq x_m$ then
 $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$, so after some trivial reindexing, by $\text{DC}(A)$ we can pick
 $a_n \in B_n \subseteq A_n$. □

There is another, more constructive proof of Theorem 1b, proving that
 $\text{DC}(A)$ implies $\text{AC}_\omega^{\text{fin}}(A)$ the axiom of countable choices for **finite** subsets
of A .

Theorem 1

Assume one of the following:

- $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}$ for all \mathfrak{m} (i.e. $X \times 2 \simeq X$ for all infinite X)
- $\text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$.

Then $\forall X (\text{DC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(X))$.

Remarks

It is consistent with ZF that:

- $\text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ fails and $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}$ for all \mathfrak{m} (Sageev, 1975)
- $\text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ holds and there is an infinite X such that $X \times 2$ is not in bijection with X

Theorem 2

It is consistent with ZF that there is $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{DC}(A)$ holds, but $\text{AC}_\omega(A)$ fails.

An equivalent (?) version of dependent choices

$DC_\omega(X)$

Let $\emptyset \neq T \subseteq {}^{<\omega}X$ be a (descriptive-set-theoretic) tree on X . If T is pruned then it has an infinite branch.

$DC_\omega(X) \Rightarrow DC(X)$.

Proof.

Given $R \subseteq X \times X$ as in the definition of $DC(X)$, the tree T of attempts to build an R -chain is pruned, and any branch of T is the desired sequence. □

$DC(<^\omega X) \Rightarrow DC_\omega(X)$

Proof.

Given T on X , then $<^\omega X$ maps onto T so $DC(T)$ holds. For $s, t \in T$ set $s R t$ iff t is an immediate extension of s . □

Therefore

$$DC \Leftrightarrow DC_\omega.$$

$DC_\omega(X) \Rightarrow AC_\omega(X)$ for all infinite X . In particular $DC(X)$ does not imply $DC_\omega(X)$ in ZF.

Proof.

Given $\emptyset \neq A_n \subseteq X$, the tree $T = \{s \in <^\omega X \mid \forall i < \text{lh } s (s(i) \in A_i)\}$ is pruned, and any branch of T yields a desired sequence. □

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be infinite and such that $\text{DC}(X)$ holds.

- 1 For any $x \in \text{Cl } X$ there is a sequence $x_n \in X$ converging to x . In particular X is Dedekind infinite.
- 2 If moreover
 - ▶ either X contains a perfect set,
 - ▶ or $X \times 2 \lesssim X$,then $\text{AC}_\omega(X)$ holds.

Proof.

- 1 is easy.
- 2 If X contains a perfect set, then \mathbb{R} embeds into X , hence X and \mathbb{R} would be in bijection, so Therefore
 $\text{DC}(X) \Rightarrow \text{DC}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \text{AC}_\omega(X)$. □

Theorem 2

There is a model M of ZF in which there is $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{DC}(A)$ holds but A is non-separable.

The model M is a symmetric extension, that is $V \subset M \subset V[G]$. The forcing \mathbf{P} adds an ω_1 -sequence of reals $\langle x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$, and the set A is $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\} \in M$, but the enumeration $\langle x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ does not belong to M . The model M is obtained by taking the interpretation of hereditary symmetric names—the fact that A is non-separable, and hence $\text{AC}_\omega(A)$ fails, as in Cohen's model.

The difficult bit is to guarantee $\text{DC}(A)$.

The forcing

$\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathbf{P}_n$ where $\mathbf{P}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{P}_1 \subseteq \mathbf{P}_2 \subseteq \dots$

These are just inclusions, not complete embeddings.

We write \Vdash_n , 1_n and \leq_n instead of $\Vdash_{\mathbf{P}_n}$, $1_{\mathbf{P}_n}$ and $\leq_{\mathbf{P}_n}$.

$\mathbf{P}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ is the trivial forcing.

\mathbf{P}_{n+1} is the set of all functions p , where $\text{dom } p \subseteq \omega_1$ is countable and there is $X \subseteq \text{dom } p$ such that:

- $p \upharpoonright X \in \mathbf{P}_n$,
- $p(\alpha)$ is a $\mathbf{P}_n \upharpoonright X$ -name and $p \upharpoonright X \Vdash_{\mathbf{P}_n} (p(\alpha) \text{ is in } <^{\omega} 2)$, for $\alpha \notin X$,
- $p \upharpoonright X \Vdash_n \forall s \exists t (s \subseteq t \text{ and } \forall x \in \dot{E}_{p,x} (t \not\subseteq x))$, where $\dot{E}_{p,x} = \{(p(\alpha), 1_n) \mid \alpha \in \text{dom } p \setminus X\}$.

For each $p \in \mathbf{P}_{n+1}$ one can pick a largest X as above, call it $X(p)$.

$p \leq_{n+1} q$ iff

- $\text{dom } p \supseteq \text{dom } q$,
- $p \upharpoonright X(p) \leq_n q \upharpoonright X(q)$,
- if $\alpha \notin X(q)$ then $p \upharpoonright X(p) \Vdash_n q(\alpha) \subseteq p(\alpha)$.

(There is one further technical requirement, but let's forget about it.)

\mathbf{P} adds ω_1 new reals whose names are \dot{a}_α ($\alpha < \omega_1$), and a new set with name $\dot{A} = \{(\dot{a}_\alpha, 1) \mid \alpha \in \omega_1\}$.

As $V \models \text{ZFC}$, so does $V[G]$, and in order to construct a model of ZF where choice fails we must pass to an intermediate transitive class M .

M is obtained by choosing a suitable family of subgroups of the group of all permutations of \mathbf{P} , called a symmetric system. Using this we define the collection of hereditarily symmetric names HS, and we set

$$M = \{\dot{x}_G \mid x \in \text{HS}\}.$$

Every canonical name for a set in V is in HS, so $V \subseteq M$. Moreover $A := \dot{A}_G \in M$ and $M \models A$ is non-separable.

The convoluted definition of \mathbf{P} is needed to prove that $M \models \text{DC}(A)$

The symmetric system

Any bijection $\pi: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ yields an automorphism $\tilde{\pi}_n: \mathbf{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_n$,
 $p \mapsto \tilde{\pi}_n p$:

- $\tilde{\pi}_0$ is the identity, since \mathbf{P}_0 is a singleton
- $\tilde{\pi}_{n+1} p(\pi(\alpha)) = \tilde{\pi}_n(p(\alpha))$.

The automorphisms agree, i.e. $\tilde{\pi}_{n+1} \upharpoonright \mathbf{P}_n = \tilde{\pi}_n$ so we get an automorphism $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

Let \mathcal{G} be the group of all automorphisms of \mathbf{P} induced by a permutation $\pi: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{\text{fix}(E) \mid E \subseteq \omega_1 \text{ countable}\}$, where

$$\text{fix}(E) = \{\tilde{\pi} \in \mathcal{G} \mid \forall \alpha \in E (\pi(\alpha) = \alpha)\}.$$

\mathcal{F} is a filter of subgroups for \mathcal{G} , and $(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})$ is the symmetric system.

Thank You