

# Some Open Problems On Invariant Random Subgroups

Simon Thomas

Rutgers University

November 9, 2022

# Invariant random subgroups

- Let  $G$  be a countable group and let

$$\text{Sub}_G \subset \mathcal{P}(G) = \{0, 1\}^G = 2^G$$

be the compact space of subgroups  $H \leq G$ .

- Note that  $G \curvearrowright \text{Sub}_G$  via conjugation:  $H \xrightarrow{g} gHg^{-1}$ .

## Definition (Abért)

A  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\text{Sub}_G$  is called an *invariant random subgroup* or IRS.

# Some Boring Examples

## A Boring Example

If  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , then the Dirac measure  $\delta_N$  is an IRS of  $G$ .

## Another Boring Example

- Suppose that the IRS  $\nu$  concentrates on a single conjugacy class  $\mathcal{C} = \{gHg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$  of subgroups of  $G$ .
- Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is necessarily finite and hence  $[G : N_G(H)] < \infty$ .
- Furthermore,  $\nu$  is the counting probability measure on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

# Stabilizer distributions

## Observation

- Suppose that  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is a measure-preserving action on a Borel probability space.
- Let  $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$  be the  $G$ -equivariant map defined by  $z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}$ .
- Then the **stabilizer distribution**  $\nu = f_*\mu$  is an IRS of  $G$ , where if  $B \subseteq \text{Sub}_G$ , then

$$\nu(B) = \mu(f^{-1}(B)) = \mu(\{z \in Z \mid G_z \in B\}).$$

## Theorem (Abért-Glasner-Virág 2012)

*If  $\nu$  is an IRS of  $G$ , then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of a measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ .*

## Definition

A measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is **ergodic** if  $\mu(A) = 0, 1$  for every  $G$ -invariant  $\mu$ -measurable subset  $A \subseteq Z$ .

## Observation

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is ergodic, then the corresponding stabilizer distribution  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of  $G$ .

## Theorem (Creutz-Peterson 2013)

If  $\nu$  is an **ergodic** IRS of  $G$ , then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of an **ergodic** action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ .

# A less boring example of an IRS

## Example

The group  $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N}) = \{g \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N}) \mid \text{supp}(g) \text{ is finite}\}$  of finite permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$  has an ergodic IRS  $\mu$  which does **not** concentrate on a single conjugacy class of subgroups.

- Let  $\mu$  be the usual uniform product probability measure on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
- Then  $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$  acts ergodically on  $(2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$  via the shift action  $(g \cdot \xi)(n) = \xi(g^{-1}(n))$ .
- For each  $\xi \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $i = 0, 1$ , let  $B_i^\xi = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \xi(n) = i\}$ .
- Then the stabilizer map is given by  $\xi \mapsto \text{Fin}(B_0^\xi) \times \text{Fin}(B_1^\xi)$ .
- Clearly the stabilizer distribution  $\nu = f_*\mu$  does not concentrate on a single conjugacy class of subgroups of  $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ .

## Remark

- If  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$ , then we obtain a corresponding **zero-one law** on  $\text{Sub}_G$  for the class of group-theoretic properties  $\Phi$  such that the set  $\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid H \text{ has property } \Phi\}$  is  $\nu$ -measurable.
- Assuming suitable large cardinals, these include the properties with projective definitions and thus  $\nu$  concentrates on a collection of subgroups which are quite difficult to distinguish between.
- In fact, until very recently, all of the known examples of ergodic IRSs  $\nu$  had the property that  $\nu$  concentrates on the subgroups of  $G$  of a **fixed** isomorphism type.

# Zero-one laws continued

## Remark

- It is well known that if  $K \leq G$  is a subgroup, then

$$\{ H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid H \cong K \}$$

is a Borel subset of  $\text{Sub}_G$ .

- Hence, if  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of  $G$ , then for each subgroup  $K \leq G$ ,

$$\nu(\{ H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid H \cong K \}) \in \{0, 1\}.$$

## Definition

An ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of a countable group  $G$  is said to be *diffuse* if  $\nu(\{ H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid H \cong K \}) = 0$  for every subgroup  $K \leq G$ .

## Theorem (Thomas)

*There exist countable groups with diffuse IRSs.*

# Strengthening conjugacy to isomorphism

## Main Lemma

*If  $G$  is any countable group, then there exists a countable group  $N$  and a semidirect product  $P = N \rtimes G$  such that for all  $K_1, K_2 \in \text{Sub}_G$ ,*

$$N \rtimes K_1 \cong N \rtimes K_2 \iff (\exists g \in G) gK_1g^{-1} = K_2.$$

# Proof of Theorem

- Let  $G$  be a countable group with an ergodic IRS  $\mu$  which does not concentrate on a single conjugacy class of subgroups of  $G$ .
- Let  $N$  and  $P = N \rtimes G$  be the countable groups given by the Lemma.
- Let  $j : \text{Sub}_G \rightarrow \text{Sub}_P$  be the  $G$ -equivariant map defined by  $j(K) = N \rtimes K$  and let  $\nu = j_*\mu$  be the corresponding  $G$ -invariant ergodic probability measure on  $\text{Sub}_P$ .
- Since  $N$  acts trivially by conjugation on  $j(\text{Sub}_G)$ , it follows that  $\nu$  is  $P$ -invariant.
- Thus  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of  $P$ .
- Furthermore, since the isomorphism classes on  $j(\text{Sub}_G)$  correspond to the conjugacy classes on  $\text{Sub}_G$ , it follows that  $\nu$  is a diffuse IRS of  $P$ .

# A Lemma of Burnside (1897)

## Notation

- If  $S$  is a group and  $s \in S$ , then  $i_s$  denotes the corresponding inner automorphism, defined by  $i_s(x) = sxs^{-1}$ .
- $\text{Inn}(S) = \{i_s \mid s \in S\}$ .

## Lemma (Burnside)

*Let  $S$  be a simple nonabelian group and let  $G, H$  be groups such that*

$$\text{Inn}(S) \leq G, H \leq \text{Aut}(S).$$

*If  $\pi : G \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism, then there exists  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  such that  $\pi(g) = \varphi g \varphi^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

# Proof of Main Lemma

- By Fried-Kollár (1981), there exists a countably infinite field  $F$  such that  $\text{Aut}(F) = G$ .
- By Schreier and van der Waerden (1928),

$$\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}(2, F)) = \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes \text{Aut}(F) = \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes G.$$

- Suppose that  $K_1, K_2 \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- Clearly if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are conjugate subgroups of  $G$ , then  $\text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_1 \cong \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_2$ .
- Conversely, suppose that

$$\pi : \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_1 \rightarrow \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_2$$

is an isomorphism.

- By Burnside's Lemma, there exists  $h \in \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes G$  such that  $h(\text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_1)h^{-1} = \text{PGL}(2, F) \rtimes K_2$ .
- After factoring by  $\text{PGL}(2, F)$ , we see that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are conjugate subgroups of  $G$ .

# A Natural Example?

## Problem

Find *natural* examples of groups  $G$  with diffuse IRSs.

## Theorem (Raimbault)

- Let  $T_4$  be a triangle in the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  with all three angles equal to  $\pi/4$  and let  $G$  be the group of isometries generated by reflections in the faces of  $T_4$ .
- Then  $G$  is a finitely presented group with a diffuse IRS.

# A Flaw in the Abért-Glasner-Virág Construction?

## Remark

If  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of the countable group  $G$ , then the construction of Abért-Glasner-Virág realizes  $\nu$  as the stabilizer distribution of a measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  such that the set

$$\{x \in X \mid G_x = H\}$$

is **uncountable** for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .

## Question

Is this *inevitable*?

# A Flaw in the Abért-Glasner-Virág Construction?

## Proposition (Thomas)

*Suppose that  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$  and that  $[N_G(H) : H] = \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ . If  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of a measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  on a Borel probability space, then the set  $\{x \in X \mid G_x = H\}$  is uncountable for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .*

# Proof of Proposition

- If not, it follows that the set  $\{x \in X \mid G_x = H\}$  is countable for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- Consider the Borel equivalence relation  $E$  on  $X$  defined by

$$x E y \iff G_x = G_y.$$

- Then for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ , the corresponding  $E$ -class  $[x]_E$  is countable.
- Hence, after restricting to a Borel subset  $X_0 \subseteq X$  with  $\mu(X_0) = 1$ , we can suppose that  $[x]_E$  is countable for every  $x \in X$ .
- Thus  $E$  is a **smooth** countable Borel equivalence relation on  $X$ .

# Proof of Proposition

- It follows that  $E' = E \cap E_G^X$  is also smooth.
- Since  $[N_G(G_x) : G_x] = \infty$  and  $G_x = gG_xg^{-1} = G_{g \cdot x}$  whenever  $g \in N_G(G_x)$ , it follows that every  $E'$ -class is infinite.
- Thus  $E' \subseteq E_G^X$  is a smooth **aperiodic** Borel equivalence relation.
- By Dougherty-Jackson-Kechris, there does not exist a  $G$ -invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$ , which is a contradiction.

## Question

Is this **inevitable** in the case when  $[N_G(H) : H] < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ ?

# Refining the Question

- Suppose that  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$  such that  $[N_G(H) : H] < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- Then there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $[N_G(H) : H] = n$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- If  $n = 1$ , then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of the ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (\text{Sub}_G, \nu)$  and the corresponding stabilizer map

$$H \mapsto N_G(H) = H$$

is  $\nu$ -a.e. injective.

# Refining the Question

- Next suppose that  $n > 1$  and that  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of the measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ .
- If  $x \in X$  and  $g \in N_G(G_x)$ , then  $G_{g \cdot x} = gG_xg^{-1} = G_x$ .
- It follows that for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$ , the stabilizer map  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$  is  **$n$ -to-one** on the orbit  $G \cdot x$ .
- Consequently, the stabilizer map  $f$  is  $\mu$ -a.e.  $n$ -to-one iff the map

$$G \cdot x \mapsto \{gG_xg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$$

is  $\mu$ -a.e. injective.

- In this case, by restricting to a suitable  $G$ -invariant Borel subset  $X_0 \subseteq X$  with  $\mu(X_0) = 1$ , we obtain a measure-preserving action  $G \curvearrowright (X_0, \mu)$  with stabilizer distribution  $\nu$  such that the corresponding stabilizer map is  $n$ -to-one.

# The Realization Problem

## Open Problem

- Suppose that  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$  and that  $[N_G(H) : H] = n < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- Is  $\nu$  the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  on a standard Borel probability space such that the stabilizer map  $x \mapsto G_x$  is  $n$ -to-one?

## Theorem (Thomas)

*This is true if  $G$  is **amenable**.*

# Towards a Solution of the Realization Problem

- Suppose that  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$  and that  $1 < [N_G(H) : H] = n < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .
- Let  $Z = \{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid [N_G(H) : H] = n\}$ .
- And let  $X = \{aH \mid H \in Z, a \in N_G(H)\}$ .
- Then we can define a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  by

$$\mu(B) = \int_Z \frac{|B \cap \{aH \mid a \in N_G(H)\}|}{n} d\nu(H).$$

# Towards a Solution of the Realization Problem

- Let  $c : E_G^Z \rightarrow G$  be a Borel map such that

$$c(H_1, H_2)H_1c(H_1, H_2)^{-1} = H_2$$

for each pair of conjugate subgroups  $H_1, H_2 \in Z$ .

- Then for each  $g \in G$ , we can define a corresponding Borel bijection  $\pi_g : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_g(aH) &= c(H, gHg^{-1})aHg^{-1} \\ &= gb_H^{-1}ag^{-1}(gHg^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_H \in N_G(H)$  is such that  $g = c(H, gHg^{-1})b_H$ .

- It is clear that each  $\pi_g$  is  $\mu$ -preserving.
- However, in order to ensure that these maps define a  $G$ -action, it is necessary to impose an **extra hypothesis** on the map  $c : E_G^Z \rightarrow G$ .

# The Weak Cocycle Property

## Definition

An IRS  $\nu$  of a countable group  $G$  is said to have the **weak cocycle property** if there exists a  $G$ -invariant Borel subset  $Z \subseteq \text{Sub}_G$  with  $\nu(Z) = 1$  and a Borel map  $c : E_G^Z \rightarrow G$  such that whenever  $H_1, H_2, H_3 \in Z$  are conjugate subgroups of  $G$ , then:

- $c(H_1, H_2)H_1c(H_1, H_2)^{-1} = H_2$ ; and
- $c(H_1, H_3)^{-1}c(H_2, H_3)c(H_1, H_2) \in H_1$ .

## Remark

The usual cocycle property has the stronger requirement that

$$c(H_1, H_3)^{-1}c(H_2, H_3)c(H_1, H_2) = 1.$$

# The Realization Problem

## Theorem (Thomas)

If  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable group  $G$  with the property that  $[N_G(H) : H] = n < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\nu$  has the weak cocycle property.
- (ii)  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  on a standard Borel probability space such that the stabilizer map  $x \mapsto G_x$  is  $n$ -to-one.

## Corollary

If  $\nu$  is an ergodic IRS of a countable **amenable** group  $G$  such that  $[N_G(H) : H] = n < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ , then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  on a standard Borel probability space such that the stabilizer map  $x \mapsto G_x$  is  $n$ -to-one.

# The Realization Problem

## Theorem (Thomas)

There exist a countable group  $G$  with an ergodic IRS  $\nu$  which does *not* have the weak cocycle property.

## Remark

Unfortunately, in the above example,  $[N_G(H) : H] = \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ .

## Conjecture

There exists an ergodic IRS  $\nu$  of a countable group  $G$  such that:

- $[N_G(H) : H] = n < \infty$  for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ ; and
- $\nu$  is **not** the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$  on a standard Borel probability space such that the stabilizer map  $x \mapsto G_x$  is  $n$ -to-one.

# Characters of countable groups

## Definition

If  $G$  is a countable group, then  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a **character** if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\chi(1_G) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .
- (iii)  $\chi$  is **positive definite**; i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$$

for all  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ .

## Example

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is a measure-preserving action on a Borel probability space, then  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  is a character.

# Indecomposable characters

## Definition

A character  $\chi$  is *indecomposable* if it is impossible to express

$$\chi = r\chi_1 + (1 - r)\chi_2,$$

where  $0 < r < 1$  and  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$  are distinct characters.

## Remark

Indecomposable characters of countable groups give rise (via the Gelfand-Naimark-Siegel construction) to the *factor representations of finite type*.

# The Indecomposability Problem

## Open Problem

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the associated character  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  to be indecomposable.

## Remark

I will soon formulate a conjecture.

## Definition

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is a measure-preserving action of a countable group on a standard Borel probability space, then the  $\mu$ -a.e. kernel is

$$K_\mu = \{ g \in G \mid \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)) = 1 \};$$

and the action is said to be  $\mu$ -a.e. faithful if  $K_\mu = 1$ .

## Remark

If  $K_\mu \neq 1$ , then there exists a Borel subset  $Z_0 \subseteq Z$  with  $\mu(Z_0) = 1$  such that  $K_\mu$  acts trivially on  $Z_0$ ; and the induced action  $G/K_\mu \curvearrowright (Z_0, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -a.e. faithful.

## Definition

A group  $G$  is said to have the *infinite conjugacy class property*, or to be an *i.c.c. group*, if the conjugacy class  $g^G$  of every nonidentity element  $1 \neq g \in G$  is infinite.

## Theorem

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is a  $\mu$ -a.e. faithful ergodic action of a countable *non-i.c.c.* group on a standard Borel probability space, then the associated character  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  is decomposable.

# A sufficient condition for decomposability

## Theorem (Thomas)

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is an ergodic action of a countable group on a standard Borel probability space and **there exists a  $G$ -invariant Borel equivalence relation  $E \subseteq E_G^Z$  such that  $1 < [z]_E < \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $z \in Z$** , then the associated character  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  is decomposable.

## Conjecture

If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is a  $\mu$ -a.e. faithful ergodic action of a countable i.c.c. group on a standard Borel probability space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The associated character  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  is decomposable.
- (ii) There exists a  $G$ -invariant Borel equivalence relation  $E \subseteq E_G^Z$  such that  $1 < |[z]_E| < \infty$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $z \in Z$ .

# Strongly Simple Groups

## Definition

The *trivial* IRSs of  $G$  are  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_G$ .

## Definition

A countably infinite group  $G$  is said to be *strongly simple* if the only ergodic IRSs of  $G$  are  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_G$ .

## Remark

Equivalently,  $G$  is strongly simple if for every ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  on a standard Borel probability space, either:

- (i) the action is  $\mu$ -a.e. fixed-point-free; or
- (ii) there exists a  $G$ -invariant point  $z_0 \in Z$  with  $\mu(\{z_0\}) = 1$ .

# Character Rigid Groups

## Definition

The *trivial* characters of  $G$  are the regular character  $\chi_{\text{reg}}$  and the constant character  $\chi_{\text{con}}$ , where:

- $\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0$  for all  $1 \neq g \in G$ ; and
- $\chi_{\text{con}}(g) = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ .

## Definition

A countably infinite group  $G$  is said to be *character rigid* if the only indecomposable characters of  $G$  are  $\chi_{\text{reg}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{con}}$ .

# Character Rigid Groups

## Theorem (Thomas-Tucker-Drob)

*If the countably infinite group  $G$  is character rigid, then  $G$  is strongly simple.*

## Lemma (Ioana-Kechris-Tsankov)

*If  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$  is an ergodic measure-preserving action and there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\mu(\text{Fix}(g)) \geq r$  for all  $g \in G$ , then there exists a  $G$ -invariant point  $z_0 \in Z$  with  $\mu(\{z_0\}) = 1$ .*

## Remark

This generalizes the result that if a finite group  $G$  acts transitively on a set  $Z$  with  $|Z| > 1$ , then some element  $g \in G$  is fixed-point-free.

# Proof of Theorem

- Suppose that  $G$  is character rigid and that  $\nu \neq \delta_1$ ,  $\delta_G$  is a nontrivial ergodic IRS of  $G$ .
- Then  $\nu$  is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action  $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ .
- Let  $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$  be the associated character.
- Since  $G$  is character rigid, there exists  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  such that  $\chi = r\chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r)\chi_{\text{reg}}$ .
- Since  $\nu \neq \delta_1$ , it follows that  $r > 0$ .
- Thus  $\mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g)) \geq r$  for all  $g \in G$  and so there exists a  $G$ -invariant point  $z_0 \in Z$  with  $\mu(\{z_0\}) = 1$ .
- But then

$$\nu(\{G\}) = \mu(\{z \in Z \mid G = G_z\}) = 1$$

and so  $\nu = \delta_G$ , which is a contradiction.

# Strongly Simple Groups

## Conjecture

There exist a strongly simple group  $G$  which is **not** character rigid.

## Conjecture

If  $K$  is a countable real closed field, then  $G = SO(3, K)$  is a strongly simple group which is **not** character rigid.