17 Sums of two squares

$$n = a^2 + b^2$$
; $a, b > 0$, $n > 1$

Note:

For all integers a, b, we have

$$a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0, 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$$

Indeed, $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow a^2, b^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. So the numbers congruent to 3 mod 4 cannot be written as sums of 2 squares. It appears from this table that if p is an odd prime, we may write $p = a^2 + b^2$ iff $p \not\equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Lemma A: If m, n are sums of 2 squares, then so is their product mn.

Proof: Use the identity
$$(A^2 + B^2)(x^2 + y^2) = (Ax + By)^2 + (Ay - Bx)^2$$

Proposition A. Let p be a prime congruent to 1 mod 4. Then p is a sum of two squares in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof of Proposition A. First we claim that there exists integers A, B, m, with $1 \le m < p$, such that

$$mp = A^2 + B^2 \tag{1}$$

Indeed, since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and so we can find $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. It was proved earlier that the set $T : \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ is a set of representatives for the **squares** in $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^*$. Hence we may choose $n \in T$ such that

$$n^2 + 1 = mp,$$

for some integer $m \ge 1$. Since $n < \frac{p}{2}$, we have:

$$m = \frac{1}{p}(n^2 + 1) < \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{p^2}{4} + 1\right) < p,$$

which proves the claim.

Now there may be more than one m for which (1) holds. (Of course (A, B) will depend on m.). So we may, and we will, choose m to be the **smallest** integer ≥ 1 for which (1) holds. Of course, m < p. We are done if m = 1, so we will assume that m > 1 and derive a contradiction.

Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \left[-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right]$ such that $x \equiv A \mod m$, $y \equiv B \mod m$.

$$x^2 + y^2 = km$$
, for some integer $k \ge 1$, (2)
since $A^2 + B^2 \equiv 0 \mod m$.

By construction,

$$x^{2} + y^{2} \le \frac{m^{2}}{4} + \frac{m^{2}}{4} = \frac{m^{2}}{2} = \frac{m}{2} \cdot m.$$

So k < m. Applying the identity proving Lemma 1, we obtain

$$(x^2 + y^2)(A^2 + B^2) = km \cdot mp = m^2 kp$$

= $(Ax + By)^2 + (Ay - Bx)^2$.

Notice that

$$Ay \equiv xy \equiv xB \pmod{m}$$
.

So

$$m^2|(Ay - Bx)^2,$$

and this gives

$$m^2|(Ax+By)^2$$
.

Hence m|(Ax + By), and

$$\left(\frac{Ax + By}{m}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Ay - Bx}{m}\right)^2 = kp. \tag{3}$$

Since k < m, and (3) gives a contradiction to the minimality of m.

Example: $p = 41, 9^2 = 81 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$

Start with $9^2 + 1^2 = 2 \cdot 41$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-1, 1]$ such that $x \equiv 9 \pmod{2}$, $y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Pick x = y = 1,

$$\frac{Ax + By}{m} = \frac{9 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1}{2} = 5$$
$$\frac{Ay - Bx}{m} = \frac{9 \cdot 1 - 1}{2} = 4$$

This gives:

$$41 = 5^2 + 4^2.$$

Proposition C. Let p be a prime $\equiv 3 \mod 4$. Then no integer n divisible precisely by an **odd** power of p can be written as a sum of two squares.

Theorem Let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then n can be written as a sum of two squares **iff** every prime $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ occurs to a even power in its prime factorization.

Proof of Theorem (modulo Proposition C)

 (\Rightarrow) : This is because Proposition C says that any prime congruent to 3 mod 4 has to occur to an even power r in n.

(\Leftarrow): Let $r = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m q_1^{2n} \dots q_\ell^{2n_\ell}$, with $p_i \equiv 1 \mod 4$, $q_j \equiv 3 \mod 4$. By Prop. B, p_i is an sum of two squares, and $q_j^{2n_j} = (q_j^{n_1})^2 + 0^2$. Thus n is a product of numbers which are sums of two squares, and we are done by applying Lemma A.

Proof of Proposition C: Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be a prime. Suppose

$$n = a^2 + b^2$$
, with $p^{2s+1} || n$.

Let d = (a, b), so that $d^2|(a^2 + b^2) = n$. Hence

$$\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{b}{d}\right)^2$$
, if $m = \frac{n}{d}$, $x = \frac{a}{d}$, $y = \frac{b}{d}$.

So we get

$$m = x^2 + y^2$$
, with $gcd(x, y) = 1$,

and

$$p^{2s+1}||m.$$

In particular, p|m, but p does not divide both x and y. But if p|x, as $m = x^2 + y^2$, $p|y^2$, and so p|y. Consequently, $p \not|xy$.

It follows, since (p, x) = 1, that

$$Ax - Bp = t$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} for all t. Take t = y to get $Ax \equiv y \pmod{p}$.

Then

$$0 \equiv x^2 + y^2 \equiv x^2(A^2 + 1) \pmod{p}$$
.

Since $p \not| x$, get:

$$A^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

But $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$ as $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, giving a contradiction.

Questions:

1. What if one considers sums of k squares with k > 2, e.g., $7 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$.

In Section 19, we will prove that any positive integer can be written as a sum of four squares.

2. If $n = a^2 + b^2$, in how many ways can one write n as a sum of two squares?

Example:
$$25 = 5^2 + 0^2 = 4^2 + 3^2$$

$$65 = 8^2 + 1^2 = 7^2 + 4^2$$

Note in general that

$$(x^{2} + y^{2})(A^{2} + B^{2}) = (xA + yB)^{2} + (xB - yA)^{2}$$
$$= (xA - yB)^{2} + (xB + yA)^{2}$$

Example:

$$25 = 5 \cdot 5 = +(2^{2} + 1)(2^{2} + 1)$$

$$= (x \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 1)^{2} + (2 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 2)^{2} = 5^{2} + 0^{2}$$

$$= (2 \cdot 2 - 1 \cdot 1)^{2} + (2 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 2)^{2} = 3^{2} + 4^{2}$$

When do these two ways of writing it coincide?

They do iff we have

$$(xA + yB)^2 = (xA - yB)^2$$

or

$$(xA + yB)^2 = (xB + yA)^2$$

First case:

Square both sides to get

$$xyAB = 0$$
 i.e., at least one of x, y, A, B is zero..

Second case: Here we get

$$x^{2}A^{2} + y^{2}B^{2} = y^{2}A^{2} + x^{2}B^{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^{2}(A^{2} - B^{2}) + y^{2}(B^{2} - A^{2}) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x^{2} - y^{2})(A^{2} - B^{2}) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or } A = B$$

Claim: If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is a prime, then $p = a^2 + b^2$ uniquely. Indeed, suppose $p = a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2$, for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$a^{2}d^{2} - b^{2}c^{2} = (a^{2} + b^{2})d^{2} - (c^{2} + d^{2})b^{2} = p(d^{2} - b^{2})$$

 $\Rightarrow ad \equiv bc \pmod{p}$, or $ad \equiv -bc \pmod{p}$. Clearly $0 < a, b, c, d < \sqrt{p}$. So

$$ad \equiv bc$$
, or $ad = p - bc$.

If ad = p - bc

$$p^{2} = (a^{2} + b^{2})(c^{2} + d^{2}) = (ad + bc)^{2} + (ac - bd)^{2}$$
$$= p^{2} + (ac - bd)^{2} \Rightarrow ac = bd$$

Hence a|bd, and $\gcd(a,b)=1$. $\Rightarrow a|d$. Also d|ac, and $\gcd(c,d)=1$, so d|a. So $a=\pm d$, so a=d. $\Rightarrow b=c$.

If ad = bc, we find that a = c, b = c, and also c = d. Now the uniqueness assertion follows.